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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A4P</td>
<td>Action for Peacekeeping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCAF</td>
<td>Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOSG</td>
<td>Executive Office of the Secretary-General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSU</td>
<td>Gender Strong Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI</td>
<td>Letter(s) of Interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOWIP</td>
<td>Measuring Opportunities for Women in Peace Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NGO</td>
<td>Non-government Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODA</td>
<td>Official Development Assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUNO</td>
<td>Participating United Nations Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Steering Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG</td>
<td>Sustainable Development Goal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TC</td>
<td>Technical Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOR</td>
<td>Terms of Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T/PCC</td>
<td>Troop / Police Contributing Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGPS</td>
<td>Uniformed Gender Parity Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNDP</td>
<td>United Nations Development Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN Women</td>
<td>United Nations Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITAR</td>
<td>United Nations Institute for Training and Research</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEFINITIONS

Allocation
Amount approved by the Steering Committee for a project/programme.

Approved Project/Programme
A project/programme including budget, etc., that is approved by the Steering Committee for fund allocation purposes.

Contributor Commitment
Amount(s) committed by a donor to a Fund in a signed Standard Administrative Arrangement with the UNDP Multi-Partner Trust Fund Office (MPTF Office), in its capacity as the Administrative Agent. A commitment may be paid or pending payment.

Contributor Deposit
Cash deposit received by the MPTF Office for the Fund from a contributor in accordance with a signed Standard Administrative Arrangement.

Delivery Rate
The percentage of funds that have been utilized, calculated by comparing expenditures reported by a Participating Organization against the 'net funded amount'.

Indirect Support Costs
A general cost that cannot be directly related to any particular programme or activity of the Participating Organizations. UNDG policy establishes a fixed indirect cost rate of 7% of programmable costs.

Net Funded Amount
Amount transferred to a Participating Organization less any refunds transferred back to the MPTF Office by a Participating Organization.

Participating Organization
A UN Organization or other inter-governmental Organization that is an implementing partner in a Fund, as represented by signing a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the MPTF Office for a particular Fund.

Project Expenditure
The sum of expenses and/or expenditure reported by all Participating Organizations for a Fund irrespective of which basis of accounting each Participating Organization follows for donor reporting.

Project Financial Closure
A project or programme is considered financially closed when all financial obligations of an operationally completed project or programme have been settled, and no further financial charges may be incurred.

Project Operational Closure
A project or programme is considered operationally closed when all programmatic activities for which Participating Organization(s) received funding have been completed.

Project Start Date
Date of transfer of first instalment from the MPTF Office to the Participating Organization.
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY** 8
2. **OVERVIEW OF THE ELSIE FUND** 9
3. **RESULTS** 10
   - 3.1. Planned Impacts
   - 3.2. Planned Outcomes
   - 3.3. Funding Modalities
   - 3.4. Key Partnerships
   - 3.5. Planned Outputs
4. **FIRST PROGRAMMING ROUNDS** 13
   - 4.1. Letters of Interest and Project Proposals
   - 4.2. Resource Mobilization Efforts
   - 4.3. Delays in Implementation, Challenges, Lessons Learned & Best Practices
   - 4.4. Letters of Interest Format
   - 4.5. Elsie Fund Web Presence
5. **COVID-19 IMPACT** 15
6. **CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE AGENT** 15
   - 6.1. 2019 Financial Performance
   - 6.2. Sources & Uses of Funds
   - 6.3. Partner Contributions
   - 6.4. Interest Earned
   - 6.5. Transfer & Funds
   - 6.6. Expenditure & Financial Delivery Rates
   - 6.7. Cost Recovery
   - 6.8. Accountability and Transparency
   - 6.9. Direct Costs
7. **ANNEX A** 20
The Elsie Initiative Fund for Uniformed Women in Peace Operations\(^2\) (the Elsie Fund), which was established with the support of the broader Canadian Elsie Initiative for Women in Peace Operations (the Elsie Initiative)\(^3\), was launched on 28 March 2019 at a launch event headlined by Under-Secretary General for Peace Operations, the Executive Director of UN Women, Canada’s Minister of Foreign Affairs, and other dignitaries.\(^4\) It was designed together with Member States, the UN system, and peacekeeping experts to support the increased deployment of uniformed women in military and police roles to UN Peace Operations and will operate for a limited period of five years - renewable. Proudly hosted by UN Women, it is an important step in encouraging and funding innovation to accelerate the pace of change in these security institutions and to enable more uniformed women to meaningfully deploy to and participate in Peace Operations.

The Elsie Fund is able to provide financial support through two funding modalities: flexible project funding to undertake a range of projects including the conduct of the DCAF – the Geneva Centre for Security Sector Governance ‘Measuring Opportunities for Women in Peace Operations’ (MOWIP) Barrier Assessment methodology (or an equivalent methodology); and the Gender Strong Unit (GSU) premium.

---

\(^2\) [http://mptf.undp.org/factsheet/fund/EIF00](http://mptf.undp.org/factsheet/fund/EIF00)


The first programming round commenced on 28 June 2019 with a Call for Letters of Interest (LOI), followed by a Technical Briefing for all Member States on 9 July 2019. The response to the Elsie Fund’s call for LOI due 1 October 19, was overwhelmingly positive with a total of 36 LOI received from 18 Troop and Police contributing countries (T/PCCs), and six LOI from four UN organizations.

39% (14) of LOI indicated an interest or intent to conduct a Barrier Assessment (five T/PCCs are currently working with DCAF to trial their MOWIP Barrier Assessment methodology), and 27% (7) of the T/PCCs outlined a future aspiration to apply for the GSU premium.

Resource mobilization efforts in 2019 were also positive, with a total of US$14.2 M in contributions from four Member States and US$5.3 M pledged from three Member States. This enabled the Steering Committee (SC) at their November 2019 meeting to approve a recommendation to invite six T/PCCs and one UN organization to develop detailed submissions from nine LOI (two T/PCCs submitted two proposals) for technical review by early April 2020, and invite the other T/PCCs to submit an LOI to conduct a Barrier Assessment—a total commitment and allocation of up to US$8-12 M from the Elsie Fund in 2019-2020.

2. OVERVIEW OF THE ELSIE FUND

Gender equality and women’s empowerment are integral to realizing the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and all of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). However, despite acknowledgement of the importance of women’s active, equal and full participation in peace and security and the significant role uniformed women play in United Nations (UN) Peace Operations, described in several SCRs: 1325 (2000), 2242 (2015), 2436 (2018), the 2018-2028 UN Uniformed Gender Parity Strategy (UGPS) and the 2019 UN Action for Peacekeeping (A4P), global progress to ensure the full, effective and meaningful employment and participation of women in all aspects of peacekeeping has been very slow.

The Elsie Fund aims to support and incentivize UN and Member State’s efforts to increase the meaningful participation of uniformed women in UN Peace Operations. The Elsie Fund primarily offers assistance and incentives for T/PCCs to identify and overcome barriers to the deployment of trained and qualified uniformed women peacekeepers – including members of military contingents and formed police units, and military observers, staff officers, and individual police officers. The Fund can also support projects submitted by UN organizations which are designed to implement, and test innovations aimed at enhancing the meaningful participation of uniformed women deployed in UN Peace Operations.

The Elsie Fund has multiple links to the SDGs, adopted by UN Member States in 2015. In seeking to help eliminate barriers preventing women from meaningful participation as uniformed peacekeepers in UN Peace Operations, the

Elsie Fund directly supports SDG 5 on Gender Equality. SDG 5 is also supported to the extent that the increased meaningful participation by women peacekeepers can empower women in host countries. The empowerment of local women, in turn, has positive implications for peace, justice and strong institutions - SDG 16, and reducing inequalities - SDG 10.

Additionally, increased meaningful participation by uniformed women peacekeepers may enhance UN Peace Operations in ways not directly related to gender, such as expanding the pool of trained and qualified peacekeepers and increasing the range of skills and capabilities that are available across the conduct of peace operations. Consequently, there are potential positive consequences for all the SDGs that Peace Operations themselves may contribute to, including decreasing poverty (SDG 1) and hunger (SDG 2), by enhancing security and helping to support peace, justice and strong institutions (SDG 16).

The Elsie Fund also seeks to accelerate progress towards achieving the UN Security Council’s declared target of doubling the rate of women’s participation within police and military contingents by 2020 compared to 2015, in accordance with SCR 2242, as well as supporting targets to increase the proportion of women serving as military observers, staff officers and individual police officers. In addition, the Fund supports the objectives of the 2018-2028 UN UGPS in regard to uniformed women in military and police components.

### 3. RESULTS

#### 3.1. Planned Impacts

The Elsie Fund was launched in March 2019 at a launch event headlined by Jean-Pierre Lacroix, UN Under-Secretary General for Peace Operations, Phumzile Mlambo-Ngcuka, Executive Director of UN Women, and Chrystia Freeland, Canada’s Minister of Foreign Affairs, among other dignitaries. The first programming round was announced in June 2019, the permanent Fund Manager commenced 30 September 2019, and the first funding round allocations to T/PCCs and participating UN organizations (PUNO) are anticipated in mid-2020. Therefore, as the Elsie Fund has yet to be fully operationalized, information and results on the strategic changes that this program aims to contribute to, including data on planned outcomes and outputs, has yet to be produced. However, as it is a new fund and part of the innovative and multilateral Elsie Initiative designed to develop, apply and test a combination of approaches to help overcome barriers to increasing women’s meaningful participation in UN Peace Operations, the following observations are made in relation to the Elsie Fund’s outcomes and outputs, as well as its structure and key partnerships.

The Elsie Fund is innovative - it has been designed to provide T/PCCs with opportunities to assess the main barriers present in their particular national context and, to propose innovative solutions and therefore have ownership over projects specific to their circumstances, with the primary impact being to accelerate the pace of change towards increased meaningful participation of uniformed women in UN Peace Operations. The secondary impact of the Elsie Fund is that the knowledge gained from these activities will contribute to the global conversation on how to increase uniformed women’s representation in UN Peace Operations—*and be translated into policies and action.*

---

3.2. Planned Outcomes

The provision of an ‘expanded and collective body of country-specific knowledge of barriers to the meaningful deployment of uniformed women peacekeepers on UN missions’ is one of the Elsie Fund’s four key outcomes detailed in the TOR. The remaining three key outcomes of the Elsie Fund that individual projects are expected to target are:

- increased meaningful deployment of uniformed women peacekeepers to UN missions
- increased pool of uniformed women eligible to deploy as UN peacekeepers
- improved working conditions for uniformed women peacekeepers in UN missions.

3.3. Funding Modalities

To achieve these outcomes, the Elsie Fund has been designed to provide financial support through two different funding modalities. Firstly, T/PCCs and other UN organizations can apply for project funding to undertake a range of projects including, in the case of T/PCCs, the conduct of the DCAF MOWIP Barrier Assessment methodology (or an equivalent methodology).

Secondly, T/PCCs can apply for the GSU premium, which is designed to incentivize T/PCCs to train, deploy and integrate increased numbers of women into formed units, across all roles and ranks. This funding modality sees T/PCCs paid an incentive at the end of a deployment, where a unit has: deployed with a percentage of women that exceeds the 2018-2028 UN UGPS target by five percent for that year; and that the unit: includes substantial representation of women overall and in positions of authority; has provided gender-equity training to all unit members; has adequate materiel to ensure parity of deployment conditions for women and men peacekeepers; and has been independently measured and verified.

“Women peacekeepers are a force multiplier. We need more. We must remove barriers that prevent women from being deployed, including a lack of basics like personal protection equipment.”

UN Secretary General, 6 Dec 2019
3.4. Key Partnerships

The Elsie Fund exists within the context of the broader Canadian Elsie Initiative, and it was designed together with Member States, the UN system, and peacekeeping experts. This design comprises the Elsie Fund’s governance arrangements – a framework which includes:

- **a seven-member SC**, co-chaired by UN Women and Canada, with other members including the Executive Office of the Secretary General (EOSG), Department of Peace Operations (DPO), an Elsie Fund contributor, a T/PCC member who is ODA eligible, and a member of the Elsie Initiative Contact Group¹⁰, and who provide strategic guidance to the Secretariat.

- **a seven- 10-member Technical Committee (TC)**, recommended by the SC, who collectively present a broad cross-section of academic, gender and cultural, Peace Operations, and military and police operational experience, from a range of organizations across the globe, and who provide technical guidance on LOI and detailed submissions to the Secretariat.

- DCAF, who, after researching and compiling the 2018 DCAF Baseline Study¹¹ are in the final stages of testing their MOWIP Barrier Assessment methodology—developed in partnership with Cornell University, across a range of military and police institutions from different geographic, cultural and linguistic regions across the globe. Undertaking the Barrier Assessment is one of the key projects that the Elsie Fund will support T/PCCs to undertake.

- **Donors**, the Elsie Fund, and the Secretariat firmly acknowledges that without the ongoing generous donor financial and other in-kind support, the Elsie Fund and its ambitious program could not exist. Contributions have been made by Canada, The United Kingdom, Germany, and Finland, with commitments or pledges from Norway and the Netherlands.

- **Member States**, direct recipients and implementing organizations. The work of the Elsie Secretariat is also informed and complemented by Member State’s input, knowledge and experiences; the Secretariat also appreciates the quality, detail, and effort that has gone into developing T/PCC and PUNO submissions, by military and police organizations as well as support from UNDP and UN Women country and regional offices.

3.5. Planned Outputs

The Elsie Fund’s Terms of Reference (TOR) details the *eleven outputs* the Elsie Fund aims to achieve over its five-year duration. The Elsie Secretariat will be fully staffed from July 2020 and it is anticipated that the Elsie Fund will be fully operational by October 2020 (noting the full and long-term impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the global security and economic situation, as well as domestically including the security sector as first responders, remains unknown), including the compilation of the Operations Manual and further detailed development of the TOR risk assessment matrix and monitoring and evaluation frameworks. Funding allocations agreed by the SC from the first programming round are anticipated to be made mid-2020, and while basic data on the numbers and types of projects commenced will be available, tangible, measurable and evidence-based results mapped across outputs and indicators are not expected to be available until early 2021.


4. FIRST PROGRAMMING ROUND

Annex A details the key actions, activities, responsibilities, and stakeholder requirements for a programming round. The first programming round commenced with a Technical Briefing and Q&A session conducted jointly by UN DPO, UN Women, Global Affairs Canada and DCAF for all Member States on the 9th of July 2019, with LOI due to the Elsie Secretariat by the 1st of October 2019. The response was overwhelmingly positive, with a total of 36 LOI received from 18 T/PCCs (including from ten African T/PCCs, three Asian T/PCCs, four Latin American T/PCCs, and one Middle Eastern T/PCC) and four UN organizations including two missions.

The SC convened on 27 November 2019 and agreed to invite six T/PCCs and one UN organization to develop a detailed submission (two T/PCCs submitted two proposals) for technical review by early April 2020.

The SC also agreed to a total allocation of up to US$8-12 M for the first programming round, with US$4-6 M for these nine LOI to be developed into detailed proposals, and a further US$4-6 M for other T/PCC applicants to conduct a Barrier Assessment.

Furthermore, the SC acknowledged that the pace of change in relation to norms, gender mainstreaming, and organizational culture will take time and does not occur in the short term; and that the SC would need to remain cognizant of this when evaluating the progress of projects, and the longer-term changes required to see increased female participation in UN operations become normalized.

4.1. Letters of Interest and Project Proposals

The ‘Call for LOI’ outlined the key criteria to be addressed in an LOI, including how the proposed projects would contribute to the Elsie Fund’s four outcomes and primary indicators. LOI responses were wide ranging and ambitious with several seeking to construct Peacekeeping Training centers and female accommodation facilities and approximately half seeking to conduct a range of pre-deployment training activities including language, computer and IT training, driving skills, and gender, sexual exploitation and abuse (SEA). Several LOI also sought funding to purchase left hand vehicles to train women, as well as a range of advocacy, education and recruitment campaigns focusing on women.

Of note, and in direct response to the GSU funding modality and the DCAF Barrier Assessment methodology, thirty-nine percent (14) of LOI indicated an interest or intent to conduct a Barrier Assessment and twenty percent (7) of the T/PCCs outlined a future aspiration to apply for the GSU premium, noting that the first payment of a GSU premium would not be before January 2021.
4.2. Resource Mobilization Efforts

Mobilization of resources in 2019 was a positive story, with a total of US$14.2 M in contributions from four Member States; and US$5.3 M pledged from three additional Member States. This enabled the SC at their 27 November 2019 meeting, to invite six T/PCCs and one UN organization to develop detailed submissions (two T/PCCs submitted two proposals) for technical review by early April 2020—a total commitment of approximately US$6.3 M from the Elsie Fund, as well as commit to supporting submissions from the remaining T/PCCs to complete a barrier assessment which would then be utilized to inform future submissions to the Elsie Fund.

4.3. Delays in implementation, challenges, lessons learned & best practices

As of 31 December 2019, there were no significant implementation delays; the timeframe to recruit the Elsie Fund Manager who commenced 30 September 2019, was in accordance with UN Women expectations.

4.4. LOI Format

Despite clear guidance provided in the call for LOI, the quality and content of LOI submissions varied widely, along with formats (i.e. jpeg images, and scanned images of PowerPoint slides and PDF documents. This prevented the ability to conduct key word searches and made assessment of the LOI against eligibility requirements and the LOI review criteria challenging and time-consuming by the Secretariat when preparing reports for the TC. As a result, the second programming round planned to be launched mid 2020 will trial an LOI template to gather the information required; cognisant of language, terminology, and bandwidth.

4.5. Elsie Fund Web presence

The Secretariat is working to develop a ‘best-practice’ internet, intranet and social media presence (@ElsieFund) with a range of information, updates, links and tools that T/PCCs, PUNOs and supporting agencies can access. This will be used to share lessons learned and best practices between T/PCCs, as well as to address a range of common Q&A that the Secretariat has identified during the first 6 months of the Elsie Fund’s operations.
5. COVID-19 IMPACT

It is anticipated that for the majority of T/PCC security organizations, allocating human resources to plan and implement proposed Elsie Fund Projects will probably not be a high priority in the next several months, as they, alongside medical professionals and other first responders— with several T/PCC already fragile or post conflict themselves—are being mobilized to fulfil a broad range of domestic public safety and security requirements; local law and order enforcement tasking, as well as going into a range of lock-down situations themselves.

As each T/PCC has its own set of unique COVID-19 circumstances, the Secretariat regularly seeks a situation update from each T/PCC that submitted an LOI in the first programming round (including LOI received late and programmed for review in the second programming round), as well as the respective UNDP or UN Women Regional or Country Office, to understand and confirm their (joint) ability to continue with, or defer ongoing Elsie projects, and to understand their timeframes. This information will also enable periodic updates to be provided to all Elsie Fund stakeholders and to be included in the 2020 Annual Report.

6. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL REPORT

The following Consolidated Annual Financial Report of the Elsie Fund is prepared by the UN Development Programme (UNDP) Multi-Partner Trust Fund Office (MPTF Office) in fulfillment of its obligations as Administrative Agent, as per the TOR, the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) signed between the UNDP MPTF Office and the Participating Organizations, and the Standard Administrative Arrangement (SAA) signed with contributors.

The MPTF Office, as Administrative Agent, is responsible for concluding an MOU with Participating Organizations and SAAs with contributors. It receives, administers and manages contributions, and disburses these funds to the Participating Organizations. The Administrative Agent prepares and submits annual consolidated financial reports, as well as regular financial statements, for transmission to contributors.

This consolidated financial report covers the period 1 January to 31 December 2019 and provides financial data on progress made in the implementation of projects of the Elsie Fund. It is posted on the MPTF Office GATEWAY (http://mptf.undp.org/factsheet/fund(EIF00).

The financial data in the report is recorded in US Dollars and due to rounding off of numbers, the totals may not add up.

6.1. 2019 Financial Performance

This chapter presents financial data and analysis of the Elsie Fund using the pass-through funding modality as of 31 December 2019. Financial information for this Fund is also available on the MPTF Office GATEWAY, at the following address: http://mptf.undp.org/factsheet/fund(EIF00).
6.2. Sources and uses of Funds

As of 31 December 2019, four contributors deposited US$ 14,704,714 in contributions and US$ 218,190 was earned in interest. The cumulative source of funds was US$ 14,922,904 (see respectively, Tables 2 and 3).

Of this amount, US$ 250,309 has been net funded to zero Participating Organizations, of which US$ 123,249 has been reported as expenditure. The Administrative Agent fee has been charged at the approved rate of 1% on deposits and amounts to US$ 147,047. Table 1 provides an overview of the overall sources, uses, and balance of the Elsie Fund as of 31 December 2019.

Table 1. Financial Overview, as of 31 December 2019 (in US Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sources of Funds</th>
<th>Annual 2018</th>
<th>Annual 2019</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contributions from donors</td>
<td>14,704,714</td>
<td>14,704,714</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Earned Interest and Investment Income</td>
<td>218,190</td>
<td>218,190</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Income received from Participating Organizations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refunds by Administrative Agent to Contributors</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund balance transferred to another MDTF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Income</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total: Sources of Funds</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,922,904</strong></td>
<td><strong>14,922,904</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use of Funds</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transfers to Participating Organizations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refunds received from Participating Organizations</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Net Funded Amount</strong></td>
<td><strong>147,047</strong></td>
<td><strong>147,047</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Agent Fees</td>
<td>250,309</td>
<td>250,309</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Costs: (Steering Committee, Secretariat...etc.)</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>290</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Expenditures</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total: Uses of Funds</strong></td>
<td><strong>397,646</strong></td>
<td><strong>397,646</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Change in Fund cash balance with Administrative Agent | 14,525,258 | 14,525,258 |
| Opening Fund balance (1 January)                  | -          | -          |
| Closing Fund balance (31 December)                | 14,525,258 | 14,525,258 |
| Net Funded Amount (Includes Direct Cost)          | 250,309    | 250,309    |
| Participating Organizations’ Expenditure (Includes Direct Cost) | 123,249    | 123,249    |
| **Balance of Funds with Participating Organizations** | **127,060** | **127,060** |
6.3. Partner Contributions

Table 2 provides information on cumulative contributions received from all contributors to this Fund as of 31 December 2019. The Elsie Fund is currently being financed by 4 contributors, as listed in the table below.

The table below includes commitments made up to 31 December 2019 through signed Standard Administrative Agreements, and deposits made through 2019. It does not include commitments that were made to the fund beyond 2019.

Table 2. Contributors’ Commitments and Deposits, as of 31 December 2019 (in US Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contributors</th>
<th>Total Commitments</th>
<th>Prior Years as of 31-Dec-2018 Deposits</th>
<th>Current Year Jan-Dec-2019 Deposits</th>
<th>Total Deposits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CANADA, Government of</td>
<td>11,252,813</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11,252,813</td>
<td>11,252,813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FINLAND, Government of</td>
<td>222,759</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>222,759</td>
<td>222,759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GERMANY, Government of</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITED KINGDOM, Government of</td>
<td>1,229,141</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,229,141</td>
<td>1,229,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>14,704,714</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14,704,714</td>
<td>14,704,714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Deposits by contributor, cumulative as of 31 December 2019
6.4. Interest Earned

Interest income is earned in two ways: 1) on the balance of funds held by the Administrative Agent (Fund earned interest), and 2) on the balance of funds held by the Participating Organizations (Agency earned interest) where their Financial Regulations and Rules allow return of interest to the AA.

As of 31 December 2019, Fund earned interest amounts to US$ 218,190.

Details are provided in the table below.

Table 3. Sources of Interest and Investment Income, as of 31 December 2019 (in US Dollars)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interest Earned</th>
<th>Prior Years as of 31-Dec-2018</th>
<th>Current Year Jan-Dec-2019</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Agent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Earned Interest and Investment Income</td>
<td>218,190</td>
<td>218,190</td>
<td>218,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: Fund Earned Interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>218,190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participating Organization</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total: Agency earned interest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>218,190</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.5. Transfer of Funds

Allocations to Participating Organizations are approved by the Steering Committee and disbursed by the Administrative Agent. As of 31 December 2019, the AA has not transferred any funds to Participating Organizations.

6.6. Expenditure and Financial Delivery Rates

All final expenditures reported for the year 2019 were submitted by the Headquarters of the Participating Organizations. These were consolidated by the MPTF Office.

Project expenditures are incurred and monitored by each Participating Organization and are reported as per the agreed upon categories for inter-agency harmonised reporting. The reported expenditures were submitted via the MPTF Office's online expenditure reporting tool. The 2019 expenditure data has been posted on the MPTF Office GATEWAY at [http://mptf.undp.org/factsheet/fund/EIF00](http://mptf.undp.org/factsheet/fund/EIF00). In 2019, no funds were transferred to Participating Organizations, and no expenditure was reported.

6.7. Cost Recovery

Cost recovery policies for the Fund are guided by the applicable provisions of the TOR, the MOU concluded between the Administrative Agent and Participating Organizations, and the SAAs concluded between the Administrative Agent and Contributors, based on rates approved by UNDG.
The policies in place, as of 31 December 2019, were as follows:

- **The Administrative Agent (AA) fee:** 1% is charged at the time of contributor deposit and covers services provided on that contribution for the entire duration of the Fund. In the reporting period US$ 147,047 was deducted in AA-fees.

- **Indirect Costs of Participating Organizations:** Participating Organizations may charge 7% indirect costs. In the current reporting period, no indirect costs were deducted by Participating Organizations.

### 6.8. Accountability and Transparency

In order to effectively provide fund administration services and facilitate monitoring and reporting to the UN system and its partners, the MPTF Office has developed a public website, the MPTF Office Gateway (http://mptf.undp.org). Refreshed in real time every two hours from an internal enterprise resource planning system, the MPTF Office Gateway has become a standard setter for providing transparent and accountable trust fund administration services.

The Gateway provides financial information including contributor commitments and deposits, approved programme budgets, transfers to and expenditures reported by Participating Organizations, interest income and other expenses. In addition, the Gateway provides an overview of the MPTF Office portfolio and extensive information on individual Funds, including their purpose, governance structure and key documents. By providing easy access to the growing number of narrative and financial reports, as well as related project documents, the Gateway collects and preserves important institutional knowledge and facilitates knowledge sharing and management among UN Organizations and their development partners, thereby contributing to UN coherence and development effectiveness.

### 6.9. Direct Costs

The Fund governance mechanism may approve an allocation to a Participating Organization to cover costs associated with Secretariat services and overall coordination, as well as Fund level reviews and evaluations. These allocations are referred to as 'direct costs'. In the reporting period, direct costs charged to the fund amounted to US$ 250,309. Cumulatively, as of 31 December 2019, US$ 250,309 has been charged as Direct Costs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participating Organization</th>
<th>Net Funded Amount</th>
<th>Expenditure</th>
<th>Delivery Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UNWOMEN</td>
<td>250,309</td>
<td>123,249</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total:</strong></td>
<td><strong>250,309</strong></td>
<td><strong>123,249</strong></td>
<td><strong>49%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. ANNEX A

Elise Initiative Fund - Planning Timeframes - First / Second Programming Round Sequence: Correct as at 20th May, 2020

|----------------------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|------------|---------|--------|--------------------------|--------|--------------------------|--------|

### Stage
- Concept Note Finalized
- Elise Initiative Fund Technical Briefing conducted
- Invitation to submit Letter of Interest (LOI) sent
- LOIs submitted
- LOIs reviewed
- Steering Committee Meeting conducted
- Steering Committee outcomes - LOI - advised
- Detailed submissions submitted
- Detailed submissions reviewed
- Steering Committee Meeting conducted
- Steering Committee detailed submission outcomes advised
- Funds distributed
- Details of T/PCC and PUNO projects announced

### Initiated by:
- UN Women
- Elise Secretariat
- T/PCC
- DPO / PUNO

### Participants:
- Member States
- UN Women
- Elise Secretariat
- T/PCC
- DPO / PUNO

### Stakeholders:
- UN Women
- Elise Secretariat
- T/PCC
- DPO / PUNO

### UN Women Documentation Required:
- Concept Note
- Invitation to Member States
- Permanent Missions
- LOI Submission matrix
- LOI submission template
- Agenda / supporting annexes
- Letters to T/PCC & PUNOs regarding additional info
- Detailed submission matrix
- UN Women PP&G
- UN Women HACT
- UN Women HRDDP
- E-meeting, Video conference, Permanent Missions
- Back to the future - Concept Note

### Communication channel:
- Email
- T/F and Web TV

### MPTFO Documentation Required:
1. Transmittal Fund (FTR Request Form)
2. Project Document (ProDoc)
3. Budget in UNDG categories format
4. Signed SC minutes detailing project approval

'Signed MoU for Participating org’s receiving funding

---

**2020 WPS / PK EVENTS**

- **17 FEB - 13 MAR 2020**
  - C34
- **09 - 20 MAR 2020**
  - C3W
- **29 MAY 2020**
  - Int. Day of Peacekeepers
- **19 JUNE 2020**
  - Int. Day Elimination of SV in conflict

- **2 JULY 2020**
  - UN Women Anniversary
- **15-22 SEPT 2020**
  - UNGA Includes C34
- **31 OCT 2020**
  - 1325 UNSCR (2000)